



---

## **Analysis And Perception Of Drug Related Anxiety And Depression: Framework For Uttar Pradesh**

**Shikha Bajpai** Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore, M.P.

**Dr. Mamta Vyas** Research Guide, Department of Psychology, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore , M.P.

---

### **ABSTRACT:**

Extensive studies suggested that alcohol, amphetamine, caffeine, cannabis, cocaine, hallucinogen, inhalant, nicotine, opioid, phencyclidine, sedative, and polysubstance are the most widely misused substances that lead to various types of substance-related disorders. According to several academics, the most essential component in drug addiction is the physiological changes in the body caused by drugs. Because the medicine has altered the body's physiology, it reacts when the substance to which it has become used is no longer given. These disturbances, often known as withdrawal or abstinence syndromes of a unique set of psychological and physical symptoms and indicators for each drug kind. Addiction and depression frequently coexist. An addict's depression may be the catalyst for them to begin abusing drugs or alcohol. It could also emerge as the addiction worsens. Re-administration of the same medicine or another drug with a similar pharmacological activity within the same generic category alleviates these symptoms. The present study suggested that the analysis and perception of the drugs related to anxiety with the special focus on Uttar Pradesh.

**Keywords:** Drug, Anxiety, Uttar Pradesh, Medicine, Depression.

### **INTRODUCTION:**

In recent years, a lot of study has been done on the relationship between alcohol or drug addiction problems and other psychopathological syndromes such antisocial personality disorder, depression, and schizophrenia (Harford & Parker, 1994). Some researchers have proposed an 'alcoholic personality' with emotional immaturity, excessive expectations from others, a need for excessive praise and appreciation, low frustration tolerance, impulsiveness and aggression (Sahasi et al., 1990), and an inability to delay gratification (Sahasi et al., 1990). (Meyer & Mirin, 1979). Although not all maladjusted people become addicts, personal maladjustment appears to be the most frequent background among all drug abusers. Antisocial personality disorder (Harford & Parker, 1994; Regier et al., 1993;

Codoret, 1985); personality disorders (Kosten & Rounsaville, 1986); depression (Lutz & Snow, 1985; Weissman et al., 1977); schizophrenia (Buckley et al., 1994; Mueser, Yarold, & Bellack, 1992); borderline personality (Miller et al., 1993); borderline (Himple & Hill, 1991). Despite the claimed tight link between these psychopathologies and drug addiction, it cannot be assumed that all people with the aforementioned psychopathological syndromes become drug abusers or addicts.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

The sample for this study came from patients in Uttar Pradesh. Purposive sampling was used, with the patient sample consisting of cases seen in the Psychiatry Outpatient who were classified as opiate dependent and sent to the researcher by a qualified psychiatrist. Age, marital status, occupation, education, socioeconomic level, family type, and location were all matched between the two groups. The client, as well as any accompanying family members, provided information on socio-demographic data. Questionnaire, The Scale for Suicide Ideation, Satisfaction with Life Scale, and Multiphasic Personality Questionnaire were among the instruments utilized. In order to obtain credible and honest information, sincere efforts were made to create rapport with the subjects. The information was acquired solely for research purposes, according to the subjects. In addition, there were promised that the data to be collected will be kept totally private<sup>108</sup> and only in a form that could not be used to identify someone. Because a high number of individuals reported a wish to know about their performance on the tests utilized, the guarantee of anonymity appeared to have gone a great way toward creating psychological rapport. The tests were assessed completely according to the instructions provided by the authors of the various tests. Several metrics were obtained as a result of scoring several tests, as listed below:

1. The IPAT Anxiety Scale Questionnaire was used to acquire six different anxiety measures.
2. The Beck Depression Inventory was used to create a single measure of depression.
3. The Hopelessness Scale was used to create a single measure of hopelessness.
4. The grading of the Negative Automatic Thoughts Questionnaire yielded a single measure of Negative Automatic Thoughts.
5. The Suicidal-Ideation Scale was used to create a single measure of suicide ideation.
6. The Satisfaction with Life Scale was used to create a single measure of life satisfaction.
7. The Multiphasic Personality Questionnaire was used to derive nine different personality measures.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION:**

T-test significance was used to compare the mean scores of the Relapse and Abstinence groups on the measured variables. This was done to see if there was a significant difference in the assessed variables between the relapse and abstinence groups. A t-test of significance was used after ensuring that the data met Guilford's main criterion (1956).

Relapse rates after substance abuse treatment are alarmingly high, and efforts to address this terrible reality are increasingly becoming an element of treatment (Daley & Marlatt, 1997). In alcoholics' outcome studies, for example, roughly 65.70 percent of patients relapse within one year of treatment, with the bulk of these individuals relapsing within three months (Miller & Hester, 1986; Emrick, 1974; Hunt et al., 1971). Relapse rates after treatment in drug- or polysubstance-dependent patients are comparable to, if not greater than, those seen in patients only dependent on alcohol in outcome studies (McKay et al., 1999; Emrick, 1974). In order to develop relapse-prevention techniques, a lot of researchers have concentrated on examining the numerous characteristics linked with relapse. There have been a number of risk factors identified.

The goal of this study was to see if there were any variations in personality traits, life satisfaction, or psychopathology between opiate addicts who relapsed and those who did not. The findings backed up the notion. After one month, the national recidivism rate for addicts is 60%, and after six months, it is at least 80%. (Fisher et al., 1998). Sobriety is not the result of long-term inpatient treatment, as was traditionally thought. Many addicts are still unable to sustain abstinence, while only a handful manage to achieve sobriety. The answer can be found within the person seeking treatment. Some addicts may have characteristics that help them recover, while others may have characteristics that help them stay addicted. If a set of qualities common to chronically relapsing addicts can be discovered and compared to the traits of recovered addicts, treatment can be tailored to modify such traits in persistently relapsing addicts. A comparison of features in relapsers and abstainers will provide valuable information for treating the addicted population. The following is a list of the many variables used in this study:

### **Anxiety:**

Our time has been dubbed "the age of anxiety," and anxiety's expressions are numerous and varied. It is becoming increasingly important to establish standard and reliable estimates of the function of anxiety in clinical practise and research, whether the diagnosis is for psychotherapy purposes or for problems of internal medicine caused by life stress.

There are a variety of scenarios in educational and social psychology when precise anxiety measurement is critical. Traditionally, anxiety has been diagnosed and estimated in a consultation room interview situation by clinical practise and research. Unfortunately, a standard and accurate interview estimate is difficult and rare for a variety of reasons, including a lack of frankness, differences in word usage, and a lack of a standard

circumstance.

Cattell's I PAT Anxiety Scale was used to assess Global Anxiety and its five different components, namely Factor Q 3, C, L, O, and Q 4, in order to assess the role of anxiety in the two groups referred to as Relapse and Abstinence groups. The IPAT Anxiety Scale was created via significant research and practise as a way to obtain clinical anxiety information quickly, reliably, and consistently. It is a brief, non-stressful, valid anxiety questionnaire that can be used by everyone except those with the lowest educational level and is ideal for people aged 14 or 15 years or older. The scale provides an accurate assessment of free anxiety level, augmenting diagnosis and facilitating all types of research or mass screening operations where only a little amount of diagnostic or assessment time with each examiner is possible. The five aspects that make up the anxiety components pertain to the following anxiety definition.

Defective integration, lack of self-sentiment
Ego weakness, lack of Ego Strength.
Suspiciousness or Paranoid insecurity
Guilt Proneness
Frustrative Tension or Id Pressure

For the Relapse Group and Abstinent Group, mean scores on Global Anxiety and its five components were collected independently. Tables 4.1 to 4.6 show the average scores. The same tables also include information on the t-value and significance level.

**Table 1: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value comparing Relapse and Abstinence groups referring to Factor Q3 as derived from Cattell's IPAT Anxiety Scale**

Group	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Degrees of freedom(df)	t-value	Level of significance
Relapse	70	10.63	3.71	138	7.004	.000
Abstinence	70	6.71	2.83			

**Table 2: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value comparing Relapse and Abstinence groups referring to Factor C as derived from Cattell's IPAT Anxiety Scale**

Group	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Degrees of freedom(df)	t- value	Level of significance
Relapse	70	7.40	2.56	138	7.844	.000
Abstinence	70	3.97	2.60			

**Table 3: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value comparing Relapse and Abstinence groups referring to Factor L as derived from Cattell's IP AT Anxiety Scale**

Group	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Degrees of freedom(df)	t- value	Level of significance
Relapse	70	5.63	1.93	138	7.325	.000
Abstinence	70	3.27	1.87			

**Table 4: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value comparing Relapse and Abstinence groups referring to Factor O as derived from Cattell's IP AT Anxiety Scale Depression, Hopelessness and Negative Automatic Thoughts**

Group	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Degrees of freedom(df)	t- value	Level of significance

<b>Relapse</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>14.14</b>	<b>14.14</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>6.744</b>	<b>.000</b>
<b>Abstinence</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>9.06</b>	<b>4.47</b>			

**Table 5: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value comparing Relapse and Abstinence groups referring to Factor Q4as derived from Cattell's IP AT Anxiety Scale**

<b>Group</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Standard Deviation</b>	<b>Degrees of freedom(df)</b>	<b>t- value</b>	<b>Level of Significance</b>
<b>Relapse</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>14.73</b>	<b>3.90</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>9.341</b>	<b>.000</b>
<b>Abstinence</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>8.49</b>	<b>3.99</b>			

**Table 4.6: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value comparing Relapse and Abstinence groups referring to Global Anxiety as derived from Cattell's IP AT Anxiety Scale**

<b>Group</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Standard Deviation</b>	<b>Degrees of freedom(df)</b>	<b>t- value</b>	<b>Level of significance</b>
<b>Relapse</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>52.51</b>	<b>12.95</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>9.973</b>	<b>.000</b>
<b>Abstinence</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>31.44</b>	<b>12.03</b>			

**CONCLUSION:**

Depression is a mood disease that has been recorded since antiquity, with ongoing efforts to understand the nature of this part of the human condition. Although we no longer attribute depression, or melancholia, to the function of physiological humors like black bile or "perturbations of the soul" (Jackson, 1986), there are numerous similarities between ancient and current descriptions of depression phenomenology.

Over the last two decades, a major topic in the understanding of psychopathology in teenagers has been the distinction of diseases based on their overall phenotypic manifestation. As a result, illnesses are classified as primarily internalizing or externalizing based on their symptom manifestations (Reynolds, 1992a). Internalizing illnesses are characterized by a wide range of subtle symptoms, many of which are cognitive, internal to the child, or difficult to detect. Externalizing disorders are behavioural excesses that are overt, highly apparent either directly or indirectly (such as in the outcome of some antisocial actions). Internalizing disorders include depression and depressive mood disorders.

Given that some depressed episodes can result in potentially life-threatening or unfavorable effects, depressive disorders are significant forms of psychopathology. Previous conceptions of "adolescent turbulence" or the viewpoint of a teenager who is "simply going through a moody stage" are no longer valid (Offer & Schonert- Reichl, 1992). When one examines the vast number of depressed and suicidal youth, a considerable number of whom do not survive to adulthood or do so with significantly diminished psychosocial competence or functioning, this becomes abundantly clear.

Internalizing diseases, such as depression, are often undiagnosed because their symptoms are more subtle. Feelings of subjective suffering, hopelessness, weariness, anhedonia, difficulty sleeping, and other signs of depression, for example, may not be easily apparent, especially in children who are timid or introverted. Depression differs from other adolescent behaviour problems in that it is associated with a risk of suicide.

Depression affects about two million children and adolescents in the United States and Canada, according to estimates. It is a source of tremendous sorrow and despair for those affected as an internalizing disorder in young people. We may regard depression as an insidious psychological problem in young people due to its internalizing character. As a result, while sadness may be subtle in some teenagers, it can have serious consequences (Reynolds, 1998).

Wamboldt (1994) investigated the nature of medical and mental illnesses reported in teenage patients seen in nine medical-psychiatric settings in the United States that are classified as Type III or IV (moderate to severe medical and psychiatric issue therapy). In terms of psychiatric illnesses, he discovered that internalizing disorders were more common than externalizing disorders, with 32 percent of the patients suffering from mood disorders, especially depression.

Adolescents frequently suffer from major depressive disorder. It affects physical, cognitive, emotional, and social functions and has a wide range of symptoms. Academic failure, bad peer relationships, behavioural issues, disagreements with parents and other authority figures, and substance misuse are all common concerns among teenagers.

Within the current nomenclature and classification systems, depression in teenagers is regarded a mood disorder that is similar in many ways to depression in adults. A depressed adolescent may exhibit a variety of symptoms, some of which are obvious, such as anger or a gloomy appearance, and others which are subtle, such as feelings of poor self-worth, hopelessness, suicide thoughts, and guilt. Depression has been shown to have a major impact on daily functioning, as well as personal and social involvement. Being grumpy or aloof from others, as well as being reluctant to respond to social interactions with peers and family, are all signs of an affective state. Although many adolescents share these characteristics, depression in adolescents is distinguished from more normative trials and tribulations associated with the normative course of adolescence by the depth and quality of these characteristics in depressed adolescents, particularly their lack of positive response to previously reinforcing events or activities.

Suicidal conduct is a big concern among adolescents, according to epidemiological data. Although some children who have suicidal thoughts and behaviours do not have clinical depression, the vast majority of suicidal children are depressed, with many seeing suicide as a solution to alleviate their severe psychological anguish (Reynolds & Mazza, 1994). Depression can manifest itself in a variety of ways and for different lengths of time, with relapse and recurrence being very frequent throughout one's life (Kovacs, 1996a; Keller, 1994). In a notable longitudinal study of depression in teenagers, Kovacs and colleagues discovered that for some children, particularly those with dysthymic disorder, depression might linger for years and can be a precursor or risk factor for other psychiatric illnesses (Kovacs et al., 1996).

Addiction is more likely in people who have depression or other psychiatric problems. Alcohol or drug abusers account for 29% of all patients diagnosed with a mental disease. At least one significant mental disease affects 37 percent of persons who abuse alcohol and 53 percent of people who abuse drugs. Depression is more common in drinkers and drug addicts than it is in the general population. Depression can sabotage addiction rehabilitation and lead to relapse if left untreated. An untreated addiction problem, on the other hand, can lead to depression in the patient, which might lead to relapse. As a result, this vicious cycle of depression and addiction must be recognised and treated concurrently.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Allebeck, P., & Allgulander, C. (1990). Suicide among young men: Psychiatric illness, deviant behaviour and substance abuse. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 81, 565-570.
- Allebeck, P., & Allgulander, C. (1990). Psychiatric diagnosis as predictors of suicide. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 157, 339-344.
- Allen, J., Lowman, C. & Miller, W.R. (1996). Perspectives on precipitants of relapse. *Addiction*, 91 (Suppl.), 83-84.



- Alloy, L.B. (2001). The developmental origins of cognitive vulnerability to depression: Negative interpersonal context leads to personal vulnerability. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 25(4), 349-351.
- Alloy, L.B., Abramson, L.Y., Metalsky, G.I., & Hartlage, S. (1988). The hopelessness theory of depression: Attributional aspects, *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 27, 5-21.
- Alterman, A.I., Bedrick, J., Cacciola, J.S., Rutherford, M.J., Searles, J.S. McKay, J.R., & Cook, T. J. (1998). Personality, pathology and Drinking in young men at high and low familial risk for alcoholism *Journal of studies on Alcohol*, 59, 495-502.
- Barry, H. (1982). Cultural variations in alcohol abuse. In 1. Al. Issa (Ed.) *Culture and Psychopathology*. Baltimore : University Park Press.
- Bartholow, B.D., Sher, K.J., & Strathman, A. (1998). Individual differences in private self-consciousness moderate the expectancy-alcohol use relation: Data from a longitudinal study. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Basu, D.A., Verma, V.K., Malhotra, S., & Malhotra, A. (1995). The sensation - seeker who is also alienated: Towards a new hypothesis for genesis of opiate addiction. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 17(1), 17-22.
- Carson, R.C., Butcher, J.N., Mineka, S. (2000). *Abnormal psychology and modern life*. 11th ed. New York: Longman, 109-132.
- Caspi, A., Begg, D., Dickson, N., Harrington, H.L., Langley, J., Moffitt, T E. & Silva, P.A. (1997). Personality differences predict health risk behaviors in adult-hood: Evidence from a longitudinal study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 73,1052-1063.
- DiClemente, C., Carbonari, J., Montgomery, R., Hughes, S. (1994). The alcohol abstinence self-efficacy scale. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 55, 141-148.
- Dodge, K., & Potocky, M. (2000). Female substance abuse: Characteristics and correlates in a sample of inpatient clients. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 18(1), 59-64.
- Fischer, B., Rehm, J., Brissette, S., Brochu, S., Bruneau, J., El-Guebaly, N., *et al.* (2005). Illicit opioid use in Canada: comparing social, health, and drug use characteristics of untreated users in five cities (OPICAN study). *Journal of Urban Health*, 82(2), 250-266.
- Fischer, B., Rehm, J., Patra, J., & Cruz, M.F. (2006). Changes in illicit opioid use across Canada. *Canadian Medical Association journal*, 175(11),1385.
- Goldberg, J.F. (2001). Bipolar disorder with comorbid substance abuse: Diagnosis, prognosis, & treatment. *Journal of Psychiatric Practice*, 7, 109-122.
- Haro G, Mateu C, Martinez-Raga J, Valderrama JC, Castellano M, Cervera G. (2004). The role of personality disorders on drug dependence treatment outcomes following inpatient detoxification. *European Psychiatry*, Vol. 19 (4): 18792.
- Horrigan, T.J., Schroeder, A.V., & Schaffer, R.M. (2000). The triad of substance abuse, violence, and depression are interrelated in pregnancy. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, Vol. 18(1), 55-58.
- Jason, L., & Sujja, M.R.A. (2008). Satisfaction with life and opioid dependence. *Substance Abuse Treatment Preview Policy*, 3, 2.

Kilpatrick, D.G., Acierno, R., Saunders, B., Resnick, H.S., *et al.* (2000). Risk factors for adolescent substance abuse and dependence : Data from a national sample. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, Vol. 68(1), 19-30.

Linda, L.V., Mary, T., Westbrook, C.P. (2006). Sources of anxiety in drug addiction. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 41(1), 124-129.

Manchikanti, L., Giordano, J., Boswell, M.V., Fellows, B., Manchukonda, R., & Pampati, V. (2007). Psychological factors as predictors of opioid abuse and illicit drug use in chronic pain patients. *Journal of Opioid Management*. 3(2), 89-100.

Mattoo, S.K., Varma, V, K., Singh, R.A., *et al.* (2001). Alienation, Sensation Seeking and Multiphasic Personality Questionnaire profile in men being treated for alcohol and/or opioid dependence. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 43,317-326.

O'Leary, T.A., Rohsenow, D.J., Martin, R., Colby, S.M., *et at.* (2000). The relationship between anxiety levels and outcome of cocaine abuse treatment. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 26(2), 179-194.

Passik, S.D., Hays, L., Eisner, N., Kirsh, K.L. (2006). Psychiatric and pain characteristics of prescription drug abusers entering drug rehabilitation. *Journal of Pain and Palliative Care Pharmacotherapy*,20(2), 5-13.

Spirito, A., Jelalian, E., Rasile, D., Rohrback, C., *et at.* (2000). Adolescent risk taking and self reported injuries associated with substance use. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 26(1), 113-123.

Taylor J. (2005). Substance use disorders and cluster B personality disorders: Physiological, cognitive and environmental correlates in a college sample. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 31, 515-35.

Tremeau, F., Darreye, A., Staner L., Correa, H., Weibel, H., Khidichian, F., Macher, J.P. (2008). *American Journal of Addiction*, 17(3)187-194.

Zucker, R.A., & Gomberg, E.S.L. (1986). Etiology of alcoholism reconsidered: The case for a biopsychosocial process. *American Psychologist*, 41, 783-793.