Ilkogretim Online - Elementary Education Online, 2023; Vol 22 (Issue 4): pp. 338-344
http://ilkogretim-online.org
doi: 10.17051/ilkonline.2023.04.28

A Comprehensive Study On Dynamic Biomechanical Deconstruction Of Square Stance Versus Open Stance In Tennis

Sunil Kumar Research scholar, Department of Physical Education, Monad University, Pilkhuwa, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Dr. Pardeep Kumar Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, Monad University, Pilkhuwa, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Abstract

This review delves into the biomechanics of the tennis backhand stroke, these studies shed light on the intricate interplay between stance width, muscle activation, ground reaction forces, open versus square stance, and skill level. A key finding suggests that wider stances enhance ball velocity while potentially reducing muscle activity, while narrower stances favor accuracy and control. Optimal stance width appears to be player- specific, depending on skill level and performance goals. Further analysis revealed distinct muscle activation patterns associated with backhand performance. Elite players displayed greater efficiency in power generation and transfer compared to their sub-elite counterparts. Additionally, wider stances generated higher ground reaction forces, potentially impacting joint loading and injury risk. Studies comparing open and square stance in professional players highlighted contrasting benefits. Open stance yielded greater power and ball velocity, while square stance led to improved accuracy and control. This suggests an individualized approach to stance selection, tailored to player strengths and weaknesses. Comparisons between elite and recreational players revealed differences in trunk rotation, shoulder range of motion, and joint torques, emphasizing the importance of proper backhand biomechanics for optimal performance. Additionally, stance width and ball speed were found to influence backhand biomechanics, with wider stances and faster ball speeds requiring greater trunk rotation and shoulder range of motion. Despite significant progress, some research gaps persist. Future studies should investigate the optimal stance width for diverse skill levels and performance goals, explore the influence of stance width and ball speed on injury risk, and compare backhand biomechanics across different populations. Additionally, developing multi- modal approaches incorporating various biomechanical analysis techniques and translating findings into evidence-based training programs and injury prevention strategies are crucial next steps. By addressing these gaps, researchers can deepen our understanding of the complex

biomechanics of the tennis backhand, ultimately aiding players of all levels in achieving optimal performance and preventing injuries.

Keywords: Biomechanics, Tennis, Backhand stroke, Stance width, Muscle activation.

1. Introduction

The sport of tennis is a dynamic and physically demanding activity that requires players to employ various techniques and stances to optimize their performance on the court. Among these techniques, the choice between a square stance and an open stance represents a crucial decision that profoundly influences a player's biomechanics and overall gameplay. This comprehensive study aims to delve into the intricate biomechanical dynamics associated with the square stance versus the open stance in tennis, shedding light on the nuances that underlie players' choices and their impact on performance.

This study recognizes the significance of biomechanics in determining the effectiveness of different stances, considering factors such as shot accuracy, power generation, and injury prevention. By employing advanced biomechanical analysis techniques, including motion capture technology and force plate measurements, we aim to dissect the intricate details of player movements and shot executions in both stances. The study will encompass various aspects, such as footwork, weight distribution, and rotational movements, providing a holistic understanding of how these biomechanical elements interplay in the context of square and open stances. In addition to biomechanical insights, this research also intends to explore the perceptual and strategic dimensions of players' stance choices. By incorporating player interviews, surveys, and match analyses, we seek to uncover the cognitive processes that inform players' decisions regarding stance selection during different game scenarios. Ultimately, this comprehensive exploration will contribute valuable knowledge to coaches, players, and sports scientists, enhancing the understanding of the biomechanical intricacies involved in executing the square stance versus the open stance in tennis.

Beyond biomechanical analysis and perceptual insights, this study will also investigate the impact of the square stance and open stance on injury susceptibility. Tennis players often face the risk of overuse injuries and strain due to the repetitive nature of the sport. By correlating biomechanical data with injury records, we aim to discern whether one stance is associated with a higher incidence of specific injuries and, consequently, inform injury prevention strategies. Moreover, the study will address the tactical and strategic considerations that influence a player's choice between the square and open stances in different match scenarios. Tennis is a game of strategy, and understanding how stances contribute to shot selection, court coverage, and overall game strategy is essential. Through match analyses and strategic assessments, we will explore the advantages and limitations of each stance and provide insights into optimizing stance selection based on specific playing conditions.

The outcomes of this research are expected to contribute not only to the scientific understanding of tennis biomechanics but also to practical applications in coaching and player development. Coaches can use the findings to tailor training programs that enhance players' biomechanical efficiency and strategic adaptability. Players, on the other hand, can benefit from personalized insights into their stance preferences and understand how these preferences align with optimal performance and injury prevention.

In conclusion, this comprehensive study on the dynamic biomechanical deconstruction of the square stance versus the open stance in tennis aims to provide a holistic perspective on the subject. By combining biomechanical analysis, injury considerations, and strategic insights, the research aspires to contribute valuable knowledge to the tennis community, fostering a deeper understanding of the nuanced interactions between player stances and on-court performance.

Table 1: Literature survey

Author Name	Year	Research Gap	Methodology	Finding	Suggestion
Leanderson, J., & Tillman, M. D.	2012	Lack of comprehensive understanding of muscle activation during the backhand	Inverse dynamics and EMG analysis	Identified key muscle activity patterns and their relation to backhand biomechanics	Conduct further research on the influence of stance width and skill level
Lehman, G. J., & Myers, J. B.	2010	Limited research on the effect of stance width on backhand performance	Kinematic and EMG analysis	Wider stance led to increased ball velocity but lower electromyographic activity	Investigate the optimal stance width for different skill levels and performance goals
Mangine, R. E., Hoffman, M. A., & Wells, A. D.	2008	Lack of data on ground reaction forces during the backhand stroke	Force plate analysis	Wider stance produced greater ground reaction forces, potentially impacting joint loading	Consider the implications of stance width for injury risk and prevention
McGinnis, P. M., & Miller, J. H.	2015	Need for comparison of backhand biomechanics between elite and sub-elite players	Inverse dynamics and EMG analysis	Elite players demonstrated greater efficiency in power generation and transfer	Implement training strategies to optimize biomechanics for improved performance
Reilly, T., & Williams, M.	2002	Limited understanding of the relationship between backhand kinematics and back pain	Kinematic analysis	Identified specific kinematic patterns associated with increased back pain risk	Recommend modifications to backhand technique to reduce injury risk
Sanches, M. L., et al.	2016	Lack of direct comparison between open and square stance in professional players	Inverse dynamics and EMG analysis	Open stance generated greater power and ball velocity, while square stance favored accuracy and control	Suggest individualized approach to stance selection based on player strengths and weaknesses

Schmitz, A., & Bruggemann, G. P.	2018	Need for comparison of biomechanical parameters between professional and recreational players	Kinematic and EMG analysis	Professional players exhibited greater trunk rotation and shoulder range of motion	Emphasize the importance of developing proper backhand biomechanics for optimal performance
Singh, S., & Jain, S.	2012	Limited research on the role of inverse dynamics in backhand stroke analysis	Inverse dynamics analysis	Identified key differences in joint torques between elite and sub-elite players	Advocate for using inverse dynamics to provide a comprehensive understanding of backhand biomechanics
Sosa, J. R., et al.	2018	Lack of data on the influence of stance width and ball speed on backhand biomechanics	Kinematic analysis	Wider stance and faster ball speeds led to increased trunk rotation and shoulder range of motion	Encourage coaches and players to consider stance width and ball speed when optimizing backhand technique
Stafilidis, S., & Baltzopoulos, V.	2014	Need for investigation of backhand biomechanics using both inverse dynamics and EMG	Inverse dynamics and EMG analysis	Identified the complex interplay between joint torques and muscle activation	Advocate for multi-modal approaches to provide holistic insights into the backhand stroke
Tadeu, R. N., & Silva, R. V.	2013	Limited research on the biomechanical differences between open and square stance in professional players	Inverse dynamics and EMG analysis	Open stance generated higher ball velocity and greater trunk rotation	Recommend further research to compare the biomechanics of open and square stances in diverse populations

The study aims to comprehensively examine the dynamic biomechanical differences between the square stance and open stance in tennis strokes. Through a comparative observational approach, the research scrutinizes joint movements, segmental velocities, force distribution, racket speed, and ball trajectory across various skill levels. Participants, recruited from amateur to professional tiers, undergo biomechanical analysis utilizing motion capture technology, high-speed video recording, and force plate analysis.

Data collection involves meticulous calibration and task execution, with participants performing designated tennis strokes using both square and open stances. Multiple trials are recorded to ensure reliability and account for variability. Statistical and qualitative analyses are conducted to compare biomechanical variables, explore relationships with performance metrics, and identify technique disparities. Ethical considerations prioritize participant safety, confidentiality, and institutional approval.

Limitations acknowledge potential generalizability constraints, equipment limitations, and skill variability. Nevertheless, the study's findings carry practical implications for coaches and players, informing stance selection strategies to optimize biomechanical advantages. Moreover, the research outlines avenues for future investigation, including injury risk and performance enhancement under pressure.

Through this methodology, the study endeavors to offer valuable insights into the biomechanics of tennis stances, contributing to the sport's understanding and potential refinement of technique.

Conclusions

The extensive research surveyed in this review has shed light on the intricate biomechanics governing the tennis backhand stroke. From stance width and muscle activation to ground reaction forces, open versus square stance, and skill level, substantial progress has been made in unraveling the complexities of this fundamental tennis technique. Despite these advancements, there remain unaddressed gaps that present promising avenues for further exploration.

Moving forward, future studies should prioritize optimizing stance width for diverse skill levels and performance goals, investigating the impact of stance width and ball speed on injury risk, and comparing backhand biomechanics across different populations, including variations in age, gender, and playing style. Additionally, there is a need for the development of multi-modal approaches that integrate various biomechanical analysis techniques. Leveraging these findings will allow the creation of evidence-based training programs and injury prevention strategies, potentially revolutionizing how we approach the tennis backhand. By diligently addressing these gaps, researchers can unlock a deeper understanding of the complex biomechanics associated with the tennis backhand, paving the way for enhanced performance and injury prevention across all levels of play and contributing to the continual elevation of the sport.

REFERENCES

1. Wei, W. et al. Surface electromyogram, kinematic, and kinetic dataset of lower limb walking for movement intent recognition. Scientific Data 10, 358, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02263-3 (2023).
2. OTBioelettronica. Gr10mm0808 semi-disposable adhesive matrix, 64 electrodes, 10 mm i.e.d. (8 columns – 8 rows). Available at: https://www.otbioelettronica.it/en/products/accessories/electrodes/gr10mm0808-detail (Accessed: 08-Sep-2023).
3. Dimitrov, H., Bull, A. M. J. & Farina, D. High-density EMG, IMU, Kinetic, and Kinematic Open-Source Dataset. figshare https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22227337.v2 (2023).
4. Son, Daryeon, et al. (2023). "Human induced neural stem cells support functional recovery in spinal cord injury models." Experimental & Molecular Medicine.
5. Yang, Qinfeng, et al. (2023). "Exosomes-loaded electroconductive nerve dressing for nerve regeneration and pain relief against diabetic peripheral nerve injury." Bioactive Materials.
6. Sennikov, A. G. (2023). "Some Morphofunctional Features of the Tail of Early Archosaurs Related to Swimming Adaptations." Paleontological Journal.
7. Petrovic, I. et al. Leg Dominance Does Not Influence Maximal Force, Force Steadiness, or Motor Unit Discharge Characteristics. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 54

(2022).
8. Needham, L., et al. (2022). "The development and evaluation of a fully automated markerless motion capture workflow." J. Biomech.
9. Ripic, Z., et al. (2022). "Ground reaction force and joint moment estimation during gait using an Azure Kinect-driven musculoskeletal modeling approach." Gait Posture.
10. Ripic, Z., et al. (2022). "Concurrent validity of artificial intelligence-based markerless motion capture for over-ground gait analysis: A study of spatiotemporal parameters." J Biomech.
11. Luo, Yian, et al. (2022). "An injectable, self-healing, electroconductive extracellular matrix-based hydrogel for enhancing tissue repair after traumatic spinal cord injury." Bioactive Materials.
12. Liu, D., et al. (2022). "Deep learning based ground reaction force estimation for stair walking using kinematic data." Measurement.
13. Moreira, L., Figueiredo, J., Fonseca, P., Vilas-Boas, J. P. & Santos, C. P. Lower limb kinematic, kinetic, and EMG data from young healthy humans during walking at controlled speeds. Scientific Data 8, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00881-3 (2021).
14. Gil P. de Paulo. Human 1 A (Jarde Dummy - review). Available at: https://grabcad.com/library/human-1a-jarde-dummy-review-1 (Accessed: 08-Jun-2022) (2020).
15. Hua, W., et al. (2020). "Adjacent segment biomechanical changes after one- or two-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion using either a zero-profile device or cage plus plate: a finite element analysis." Comput Biol Med.
16. Wong, C. E., et al. (2020). "Optimization of three-level cervical hybrid surgery to prevent adjacent segment disease: a finite element study." Front Bioeng Biotechnol.
17. Wang, J., et al. (2020). "Structure design and optimization of the C5–C6 cervical intervertebral fusion cage using the anterior cervical plate and cage fixation system." Med Sci Monitor: Int Med J Experimental and Clin Res.
18. Mundt, M., et al. (2020). "Prediction of ground reaction force and joint moments based on optical motion capture data during gait." Med. Eng. Phys.
19. Pienciak-Siewert, A., et al. (2020). "Role of muscle coactivation in adaptation of standing posture during arm reaching." J. Neurophysiol.
20. "Explore scientific resources around the management of patients with kidney or metabolic or heart diseases - ACROSS ACADEMY.""Practical guidance on the implementation of SGLT2-i."
21. Fukuchi, C. A., Fukuchi, R. K. & Duarte, M. Effects of walking speed on gait biomechanics in healthy participants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews 8, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-019-1063-z (2019).
22. Horst, F., Lapuschkin, S., Samek, W., Müller, K. R. & Schöllhorn, W. I. A public dataset of overground walking kinetics and full-body kinematics in healthy adult individuals.

Mendeley Data V3, https://doi.org/10.17632/svx74xcrjr.3 (2019).
23. Horst, F., Lapuschkin, S., Samek, W., Müller, K. R. & Schöllhorn, W. I. Explaining the unique nature of individual gait patterns with deep learning. Scientific Reports 9, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-38748-8 (2019).